

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/812,315 | RIEPING, MECHTHILD | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Alexander D. Kim | 1656 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) Alexander D. Kim.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Sanzo.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2006

Time: 12:35PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Requested applicants to elect a species from Claim 9. Mr Sanzo called back after consulting a client and elected species (a) the tdh gene coding for threonine dehydrogenase from the Claim 9.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)